

Stepping Stone Method of Optimality Test

Once, we get the **basic feasible solution** for a transportation problem, the next duty is to test whether the solution we got is an **optimal solution** or not?

1. Steps to test **unused squares**;
2. Select an **unused square**,
3. Allocate + ① unit to **unused square** and locate - ① and + ① alternatively to corners of the selected closed path.
4. Calculate the **improvement index** .
5. If improvement index is **negative** allocate as **much** as you can to that unused square.

Repeat the allocation till the improvement index is ≥ 0 for all unused squares.

Retail Agency Factories	1	2	3	4	5
1	50 1 -1 +1	9	13	36	51
2	50 24 +1 -1	50 12	16	20	1
3	14	10 33	50 1	50 23	40 26

Improvement index $(1 - 2) = 1 \times 9 - 1 \times 1 + 1 \times 24 - 1 \times 12 = +20$, this means if we allocate $(1 - 2) +1$ unit , then the transport cost will increase by +20.

Retail Agency Factories	1	2	3	4	5

1	50 1 -1	9	13	36	51
2	50 24 +1	50 12 -1	16	20	1
3	14	10 33 +1	50 1 -1	50 23	40 26

Improvement index **(1 – 3)** = $1 \times 13 - 1 \times 1 + 1 \times 24 - 1 \times 12 + 1 \times 33 - 1 \times 1 = +56$, this means if we allocate **(1 – 3)** +1 unit, then the transport cost will increase by +56.

Retail Agency Factories	1	2	3	4	5
1	50 1 -1	9	13	36	51
2	50 24 +1	50 12 -1	16	20	1
3	14	10 33 +1	50 1 -1	50 23	40 26

Improvement index **(1 – 4)** = $1 \times 13 - 1 \times 1 + 1 \times 24 - 1 \times 12 + 1 \times 33 - 1 \times 23 = +34$, this means if we allocate **(1 – 4)** +1 unit, then the transport cost will increase by +24.

And so on ...

Improvement index **(1 – 5)** = $1 \times 51 - 1 \times 1 + 1 \times 24 - 1 \times 12 + 1 \times 33 - 1 \times 26 = +73$, this means if we allocate **(1 – 5)** +1 unit, then the transport cost will increase by +73.

Improvement index **(2 – 3)** = $1 \times 16 - 1 \times 12 + 1 \times 33 - 1 \times 1 = +36$, this means if we allocate **(2 – 3)** +1 unit, then the transport cost will increase by +36.

Improvement index **(2– 4)** = $1*20-1*12+1*33-1*23=+18$, this means if we allocate **(2– 4)** +1 unit , then the transport cost will increase by +18.

Improvement index **(2– 5)** = $1*1-1*12+1*33-1*26= - 4$, this means if we allocate **(2– 5)** +1 unit , then the transport cost will **decrease by - 4**.

Since there is a decrease in the cost, we will allocate as much as we can to (**2 – 5**). To further improve the current solution, select the "smallest" number found in the path (2-5 , 2-2 , 3-2 , 3-5) containing minus (-) signs. This number is added to all cells on the closed path with plus (+) signs, and subtracted from all cells on the path with minus (-) signs.

Retail Agency Factories	1	2	3	4	5	Capacity
1	1 50	9	13	36	51	50
2	24 50	12 10	16	20	1 40	100
3	14	33 50	1 50	23 50	26	150
Requirement	100	60	50	50	40	

$$Z = 50 * 1 + 50 * 24 + 10 * 12 + 50 * 33 + 50 * 1 + 50 * 23 + 40 * 1 = 4260.$$

1	2	3	4	5
1 50	9	13	36	51

50	24	10	12	16	20	1
	-1	+1				40
	14	50	33	1	23	26
	+1	-1	50	50		

Improvement index $(3 - 1) = 1 \times 14 - 1 \times 33 + 1 \times 12 - 1 \times 24 = -31$, this means if we allocate $(3 - 1) + 1$ unit, then the transport cost will **decrease by -31**.

Since there is a decrease in the cost, so,

Retail Agency Factories	1	2	3	4	5	Capacity
1	1 50	9	13	36	51	50
2	24	12 60	16	20	1 40	100
3	14 50	33	1 50	23 50	26	150
Requirement	100	60	50	50	40	

$$Z = 50 \times 1 + 50 \times 14 + 60 \times 12 + 50 \times 1 + 50 \times 23 + 40 \times 1 = 2710.$$

We will check the Improvement index in each cell again:

(1 - 2)	Not possible	
(1 - 3)	$1 \times 13 - 1 \times 1 + 1 \times 14 - 1 \times 1$	= +25
(1 - 4)	$1 \times 36 - 1 \times 1 + 1 \times 14 - 1 \times 23$	= +26
(1 - 5)	Not possible	

(2 – 1)	Not possible	
(2 – 3)	Not possible	
(2 – 4)	Not possible	
(3 – 2)	Not possible	
(3 – 5)	Not possible	

In the table above, no more negative improvement index, so the solution **Z = 2710** is **optimal**.

Example : According to the following table, Find the feasible solution by three methods (NWCM, LCM and VAM), then find the optimal solution.

		To			
From		Albuquerque	Boston	Cleveland	Capacity
	Des Moines	5	4	3	100
	Evansville	8	4	3	300
	Fort Lauderdale	9	7	5	300
	Demand	300	200	200	700

Minimize **Z** = $5x_{11} + 4x_{12} + 3x_{13} + 8x_{21} + 4x_{22} + 3x_{23} + 9x_{31} + 7x_{32} + 5x_{33}$

Constraints:

For **Supply** nodes;

$$x_{11} + x_{12} + x_{13} \leq 100$$

$$x_{21} + x_{22} + x_{23} \leq 300$$

$$x_{31} + x_{32} + x_{33} \leq 300$$

Supply **cannot be bigger** than capacity.

For **Demand** nodes;

$$x_{11} + x_{21} + x_{31} \geq 300$$

$$x_{12} + x_{22} + x_{32} \geq 200$$

$$x_{13} + x_{23} + x_{33} \geq 200$$

Demand **cannot be less** than the required.

all x_{ij} and $x_{ji} \geq 0$

Solution:

1) Initial Solution with NWCM

	Albuquerque	Boston	Cleveland	Capacity
--	-------------	--------	-----------	----------

Des Moines	5	4	3	100
Evansville	8	4	3	300
Fort Lauderdale	9	7	5	300
Demand	300	200	200	700

	Albuquerque	Boston	Cleveland	Capacity
Des Moines	100 5	4	3	100
Evansville	200 8	100 4	3	300
Fort Lauderdale	9	100 7	200 5	300
Demand	300	200	200	700

$$Z = 100 \times 5 + 200 \times 8 + 100 \times 4 + 100 \times 7 + 200 \times 5 = 500 + 1600 + 400 + 700 + 1000 = 4200 \$$$

2) Initial Solution with LCM

	Albuquerque	Boston	Cleveland	Capacity
Des Moines	5	4	100 3	100
Evansville	8	200 4	100 3	300
Fort Lauderdale	300 9	7	5	300
Demand	300	200	200	700

$$Z = 300 \times 9 + 200 \times 4 + 100 \times 3 + 100 \times 3 = 2700 + 800 + 300 + 300 = 4100 \$$$

3) Initial Solution with VAM

	3	0	0		
	Albuquerque	Boston	Cleveland	Capacity	
Des Moines	5	4	3	100	1
Evansville	8	4	3	300	1
Fort Lauderdale	9	7	5	300	2
Demand	300	200	200	700	

	3 1	0	0		
	Albuquerque	Boston	Cleveland	Capacity	
Des Moines	100 5	4	3	100	1
Evansville	8	4	3	300	1
Fort Lauderdale	9	7	5	300	2
Demand	300	200	200	700	

	1	0 3	0 2		
	Albuquerque	Boston	Cleveland	Capacity	
Des Moines	100 5	X 4	X 3	100	1 0
Evansville	8	200 4	3	300	1
Fort Lauderdale	9	X 7	5	300	2
Demand	300	200	200	700	

	10	30	20	
	Albuquerque	Boston	Cleveland	Capacity
Des Moines	100 5	X 4	X 3	100
Evansville	X 8	200 4	100 3	300
Fort Lauderdale		X 7		300
Demand	300	200	200	700

	0	0	0	
	Albuquerque	Boston	Cleveland	Capacity
Des Moines	100 5	X 4	X 3	100
Evansville	X 8	200 4	100 3	300
Fort Lauderdale	200 9	X 7	100 5	300
Demand	300	200	200	700

$$Z = 100 \times 5 + 200 \times 4 + 100 \times 3 + 200 \times 9 + 100 \times 5 = 500 + 800 + 300 + 1800 + 500 = 3900 \$$$

Stepping Stone Method of Optimality Test

	Albuquerque	Boston	Cleveland
Des Moines	100 5 -1	4 +1	3
Evansville	200 8 +1	100 4 -1	3
Fort Lauderdale	9	100 7	200 5

Improvement index = $I_{00} = +4 - 5 + 8 - 4 = +3$, this means, if we allocate to **Des Moines-Boston** +1 unit the transport cost will increase by +3.

	Albuquerque		Boston		Cleveland	
Des Moines	100	5		4		3
Evansville	200	8	100	4		3
Fort Lauderdale		9	100	7	200	5

Improvement cycle: Des Moines (-1) → Cleveland (+1) → Evansville (-1) → Fort Lauderdale (+1) → Albuquerque (-1) → Des Moines (+1).

Improvement index $= I_{DC} = +3 - 5 + 7 - 4 + 8 - 5 = +4$, this means, if we allocate to **Des Moines - Cleveland** +1 unit the transport cost will increase by +4.

	Albuquerque		Boston		Cleveland	
Des Moines	100	5		4		3
Evansville	200	8	100	4		3
Fort Lauderdale		9	100	7	200	5

Improvement cycle: Evansville (-1) → Cleveland (+1) → Fort Lauderdale (-1) → Albuquerque (+1) → Evansville (-1).

Improvement index $= I_{EC} = +3 - 5 + 7 - 4 = +1$, this means, if we allocate to **Evansville - Cleveland** +1 unit the transport cost will increase by +1.

	Albuquerque		Boston		Cleveland	
Des Moines	100	5		4		3
Evansville	200	8	100	4		3
Fort Lauderdale		9	100	7	200	5

Improvement cycle: Fort Lauderdale (-1) → Albuquerque (+1) → Evansville (-1) → Fort Lauderdale (+1).

Improvement index $= I_{FA} = +9 - 8 + 4 - 7 = -2$, this means, if we allocate to **Fort Lauderdale - Albuquerque** +1 unit the transport cost will decrease by -2.

Since there is a decrease in the cost, we will allocate as much as we can to **Fort Lauderdale – Albuquerque**. The amount is the **minimum of the numbers that we are assigning -①** in the cycle.

	Albuquerque		Boston		Cleveland		Capacity
Des Moines	100	5		4		3	100
Evansville	100	8	200	4		3	300
Fort Lauderdale	+100	9	0	7	200	5	300
Demand	300		200		200		700

$$Z = 100 \times 5 + 100 \times 8 + 200 \times 4 + 100 \times 9 + 200 \times 5 = 500 + 800 + 800 + 900 + 1000 = 4000 \$$$

The solution obtained may or may not be optimal. To check we return to first step to check the unused squares,

D to B	I_{DB}	$+DB-DA+AE-EB$	$+4-5+8-4$	$+3$
D to C	I_{DC}	$+DC-DA+FA-FC$	$+3-5+9-5$	$+2$
E to C	I_{EC}	$+EC-EA+FA-FC$	$+3-8+9-5$	-1
F to B	I_{FB}	$+FB-EB+EA-FA$	$+7-4+8-9$	$+2$

An improvement can be done by allocating to **EC**, since the minimum number in the square is 100; we allocate 100 to **EC** square.

	Albuquerque		Boston		Cleveland		Capacity
Des Moines	100	5		4		3	100
Evansville	0	8	200	4	+100	3	300
Fort Lauderdale	+200	9	0	7	100	5	300
Demand	300		200		200		700

$$Z = 100 \times 5 + 200 \times 9 + 200 \times 4 + 100 \times 3 + 100 \times 5 = 500 + 1800 + 800 + 300 + 500 = 3900 \$$$

We will check the Improvement index in each cell again.

D to B	I_{DB}	+DB-DA+FA-FC+EC-EB	+4-5+9-5+3-4	+2
D to C	I_{DC}	+DC-DA+FA-FC	+3-5+9-5	+2
E to A	I_{EC}	+EA-FA+FC-EC	+8-9+5-3	+1
F to B	I_{FB}	+FB-EB+EC-FC	+7-4+3-5	+1

In this table, no more negative improvement index, so the solution is **optimal**.

Exercise:

1) A company has three factories X, Y, and Z and three warehouses A, B, and C. It is required to schedule factory production and shipments from factories to warehouses in such a manner so as to minimize total cost of shipment and production. Unit variable manufacturing cost (UVMC) and factory capacities and warehouse requirements are given below:

<i>From / To</i>	A		B		C		Capacity
X		10		4		11	70
Y		12		5		8	50
Z		9		7		6	30
Demand		40		50		60	150

- Load the table with **North-west method**.
- Load the table with **least cost method**.
- Load the table with **Vogel's approximation method**, (VAM).
- Solve the question by **stepping stone algorithm**.

2) The demand and capacity are given

<i>From / To</i>	Sarajevo		Travnik		Bihac		Capacity
Mostar		5		7		15	120
Zenica		4		2		8	200
Tuzla		6		3		10	150
Demand		210		160		100	470

- Load the table with **North-west method**.
- Load the table with **least cost method**.
- Load the table with **Vogel's approximation method**, (VAM).
- Solve the question by **stepping stone algorithm**.

	1		1		2	
	5		7		15	120 2
	4		2		8	200 2
	6		3		10	150 3
	210		160		100	470

1 2	1 5	2	
-------------------	-------------------	----------	--

	5		7		15	120 2 10
	120		X		X	
	4		2		8	200 2 4
	90		10		100	
	6		3		10	150 3
	X		150		X	
210		160		100		470

$$Z = 120 \cdot 5 + 90 \cdot 4 + 10 \cdot 2 + 100 \cdot 8 + 150 \cdot 3 = 2230$$

5	7	15		
120 -1	+1			120
4	2	8		
90 +1	-1 10	100		200
6	3	10		
	150			150
210	160	100		470

$$(1,2) = 1 \cdot 7 - 1 \cdot 5 + 1 \cdot 4 - 1 \cdot 2 = +4$$

$$(1,3) = 15 - 5 + 4 - 8 = +6$$

$$(3,1) = 6 - 3 + 2 - 4 = +1$$

$$(3,3) = 10 - 8 + 2 - 3 = +1$$

So, the optimal solution: $Z = 2230$.