

Discrete Structure , lecture 2 **Predicate Logic**

Propositional Logic is not enough

Suppose we have:

All men are mortal.” “Socrates is a man”.

Does it follow that “Socrates is mortal”?

This cannot be expressed in propositional logic.

We need a language to talk about objects, their properties and their relations.

Predicate Logic

Extend propositional logic by the following new features.

-Variables: x, y, z, \dots

-Predicates (i.e., propositional functions):

$P(x), Q(x), R(y), M(x, y), \dots$

-Quantifiers: \forall, \exists .

Propositional functions are a generalization of propositions. Can contain variables and predicates, e.g., $P(x)$.

Variables stand for (and can be replaced by) elements from their **domain**

Propositional Functions

Propositional functions become propositions (and thus have truth values) when all their variables are either

- replaced by a value from their domain, or

- bound by a quantifier

$P(x)$ denotes the value of propositional function at x . The domain is often denoted by U (the universe).

Example: Let $P(x)$ denote “ $x > 5$ ” and U be the integers. Then

$P(8)$ is true.

$P(5)$ is false.

Examples of Propositional Functions

Let $P(x, y, z)$ denote that $x + y = z$ and U be the integers for all three variables.

$P(-4, 6, 2)$ is true.

$P(5, 2, 10)$ is false.

$P(5, x, 7)$ is not a proposition.

Let $Q(x, y, z)$ denote that $x - y = z$ and U be the integers.

$P(1, 2, 3) \wedge Q(5, 4, 1)$ is true.

$P(1, 2, 4) \rightarrow Q(5, 4, 0)$ is true.

$P(1, 2, 3) \rightarrow Q(5, 4, 0)$ is false.

$P(1, 2, 4) \rightarrow Q(x, 4, 0)$ is not a proposition.

Quantifiers

We need quantifiers to formally express the meaning of the words "all" and "some" .

The two most important quantifiers are:

Universal quantifier, “For all”. Symbol: \forall

Existential quantifier, “There exists”. Symbol: \exists

$\forall x P(x)$ asserts that $P(x)$ is true for **every** x in the domain.

$\exists x P(x)$ asserts that $P(x)$ is true for **some** x in the domain.

The quantifiers are said to **bind** the variable x in these expressions.

Variables in the scope of some quantifier are called **bound variables**. All other variables in the expression are called **free variables**.

A propositional function that does not contain any free variables is a proposition and has a truth-value.

Universal Quantifier

$\forall x P(x)$ is read as “For all x , $P(x)$ ” or “For every x , $P(x)$ ”.

The truth value depends not only on P , but also on the domain U .

Example: Let $P(x)$ denote $x > 0$.

If U is the integers then $\forall x P(x)$ is false.

If U is the positive integers then $\forall x P(x)$ is true.

Some Examples

Example: $P(x, y): x + y = 8$

Assign x to be 1, and y to be 7. We get proposition $P(1, 7)$ which is true.

Proposition $P(2, 5)$ is false since $2 + 5 \neq 8$.

Example: $\forall x [x \geq 0]$

$U = \mathbb{N}$ (non-negative integers)

We could re-write this proposition as: $\forall x \in \mathbb{N}, x \geq 0$ Is the proposition true?

What if the universe is \mathbb{R} ?

Example: $\forall x \forall y [x + y > x]$ Is this

proposition true if:

1- If $U = \mathbb{N}$?

2- If $U = \mathbb{R}$?

Existential Quantifier

$\exists x P(x)$ is read as “For some x , $P(x)$ ” or “There is an x such that, $P(x)$ ”, or “For at least one x , $P(x)$ ”.

The truth value depends not only on P , but also on the domain U .

Example: Let $P(x)$ denote $x < 0$.

If U is the integers then $\exists x P(x)$ is true.

If U is the positive integers then $\exists x P(x)$ is false.

Order of Quantifiers

Quantifiers can be grouped into blocks

$$\forall u \forall v \dots \forall w \dots \dots \quad \exists a \exists b \dots \exists c \quad \forall x \forall y \dots \forall z$$

Quantifiers can be swapped inside a block, **but not between blocks**.

Let $P(x, y)$ denote $x + y = y + x$ and U be the real numbers. Then $\forall x \forall y P(x, y)$ is equivalent to $\forall y \forall x P(x, y)$

Let $Q(x, y)$ denote $x + y = 0$ and U be the real numbers. Then $\forall x \exists y P(x, y)$ is true, but $\exists y \forall x P(x, y)$ is **false**.

Precedence of Quantifiers

Quantifiers \forall and \exists have **higher precedence** than all logical operator $\forall x P(x) \wedge Q(x)$ means $(\forall x P(x)) \wedge Q(x)$. In particular, this expression contains a free variable.

$\forall x (P(x) \wedge Q(x))$ means something different.

Translating English to Logic

Translate the following sentence into predicate logic: “Every student in this class has taken a course in Java.”

Solution:

First decide on the domain U .

Solution 1: If U is all students in this class, define a propositional function $J(x)$ denoting “ x has taken a course in Java” and translate as $\forall x J(x)$.

Solution 2: But if U is all people, also define a propositional function $S(x)$ denoting “ x is a student in this class” and translate as $\forall x (S(x) \rightarrow J(x))$.

Note: $\forall x (S(x) \wedge J(x))$ is not correct. What does it mean?

Equivalences in Predicate Logic

Statements involving predicates and quantifiers are logically equivalent if and only if they have the same truth value for every predicate substituted into these statements and for every domain of discourse used for the variables in

the expressions.

The notation $S \equiv T$ indicates that S and T are logically equivalent. Example:

$$\forall x \neg \neg S(x) \equiv \forall x S(x)$$

Quantifiers as Conjunctions/Disjunctions

If the domain is finite then universal/existential quantifiers can be expressed by conjunctions/disjunctions

If U consists of the integers 1, 2, and 3, then

$$\forall x P(x) \equiv P(1) \wedge P(2) \wedge P(3)$$

$$\exists x P(x) \equiv P(1) \vee P(2) \vee P(3)$$

Even if the domains are infinite, you can still think of the quantifiers in this fashion, but the equivalent expressions without quantifiers will be infinitely long

De Morgan's Law for Quantifiers

The rules for negating quantifiers are:

$$\neg \forall x P(x) \equiv \exists x \neg P(x)$$

$$\neg \exists x P(x) \equiv \forall x \neg P(x)$$